	Baseline	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 5	Qtr 6	Qtr 7	Qtr 8
Objective 1: Tanks: Reduce environmental threats by improving Hanford tank waste management to ensure safe disposal of Hanford tank wastes.									
Target 1: Safe Storage: 2% of pumpable liquid remaining	g in 29 singl	e-shell tank	s by 9/30/03	; 23% remai	ning by 9/30	0/01.		T	
Output: Adhere to/enforce Consent Decree									
• Outcome: % of pumpable liquid remaining in single-shell tanks as of 9/30/03; 9/30/01.	25%	23%	*						
Comments:* Reporting results of interim stabilization pu	mping will l	ag one quar	ter to reflect	Ecology rec	eipt and rev	riew of the I	Department (of Energy –	Office of
River Protection's quarterly pumping report required per					•		•		
Target 2: Safe Storage: 5% of organic-complexed pumpa	ıble liquids r	emaining in	five single-	shell tanks b	y 9/30/01.				
Output: Adhere to/enforce Consent Decree									
• Outcome: % of organic pumpable liquid remaining in single-shell tanks as of 9/30/01.	13.5%	13.5**							
102 and 241-U-109) of the five tanks containing organic tanks are now considered interim stabilized.	Comments: **The target pertains to tanks that are not considered interim stabilized. On 9/27/01, USDOE Office of River Protection declared the last two (241-U-102 and 241-U-109) of the five tanks containing organic-complexed pumpable liquids interim stabilized. This completed Target #2 because all five single-shell tanks are now considered interim stabilized.								
Target 3: Retrieval: Approve retrieval demonstration des	ign for one o	of the three s	selected sing	le-shell tank	s by 7/03.				
Output: Processed retrieval Function and									
Requirements documents from the Department of									
Energy and supported retrieval demonstration from single-shell tank.									
Outcome: On 12/21/01, Ecology received two									
Function and Requirements documents for review									
scheduled for 3/02. Retrieval demonstration design									
activities are on schedule for 7/03.									
Comments: All activities point to meeting target for an approved retrieval demonstration design by 7/03. No problems foreseen at this time.									
Target 4: Treatment: Issue three major permits by 6/02 t	hat facilitate	Waste Trea	tment Comp	olex construc	ction start da	ite of 7/02 (7	Γoxic Air, P	revention of	,
Significant Deterioration & Dangerous Waste).	B I	T	T			T		T	
Output: Received final Prevention of Significant									
Deterioration on 1/7/02 that was due on 12/28/01.									
Ecology will be reviewing; drafting a permit; going									
to public comment; and finalizing the permit									
between 1/02 and 6/02 to support start of construction in 7/02.									
Department of Energy (DOE)/Ecology worked on									

Air Toxic Notice of Construction development this									
quarter. Ecology will receive Final Notice of									
Construction 2/14/02. Ecology will be reviewing;									
drafting a permit; going to public comment; and									
finalizing the permit between 2/02 and 6/02 to									
support start of construction in 7/02.									
Department of Energy (DOE)/Ecology worked on									
Dangerous Waste Permit Application development									
this quarter. Ecology received the final certified									
permit application 12/01 from DOE. Ecology will									
be reviewing; drafting a permit; going to public									
comment; and finalizing the permit between 12/01									
and 6/02 to support start of construction in 7/02.									
Continued effort was made to develop innovative									
compliance schedules to include in permit and									
support the scheduled start of construction in 7/02.									
Outcome: All three permits are on schedule to be									
completed in 6/02 to support the 7/02 start of									
construction date.									
Comments: Permitting challenge: Providing a permit for									
with our lawyers, EPA lawyers, DOE and the contractor					rmation into	the permit a	and still allov	w construction	on to
occur. We are actively working this issue with all involved									
Target 5: Tri-Party Agreement/Stakeholder: Reaffirm th	e parties' acc	ountability	and commits	ment to mee	t Tri-Party A	greement re	equirements.		
 Output: Recovery Plan was reviewed to assess 									
1) the impact on the Tri-Party Agreement deadline									
for the "hot start" of the Waste Treatment Complex									
of 12/07; and 2) the adequacy of its FY2002									
funding.									
• It is projected that we will go to public comment									
on the Recovery Plan and its associated Tri-Party									
Agreement changes in early February.									
• Outcome: The Recovery Plan's schedules will be									
tight, but look pretty good to meet the 12/07 "hot									
start" date. In addition, there will be a few									
opportunities to save time between now and 2007.									

ective manaș	gement and	environme	ntally safe o	disposal of v	waste at the	Commercia	al Low-Leve	el
no later than	12/02; jointl	y prepared b	y the Depai	tments of H	ealth and Ec	cology.		
lered in the E	Environment	al Impact St	atement. Th	e Departmei	nt of Health	has the lead	for cap desig	gn and has
individual a	ctions may l	nave slipped	, the target r	emains on s	chedule.			
on by 6/03.								
	o later than of the Envir	o later than 12/02; jointle of the Environmental Intered in the Environment individual actions may here.	o later than 12/02; jointly prepared by the Environmental Impact Statem lered in the Environmental Impact Statem individual actions may have slipped	o later than 12/02; jointly prepared by the Department of the Environmental Impact Statement that will lered in the Environmental Impact Statement. The individual actions may have slipped, the target respectively.	o later than 12/02; jointly prepared by the Departments of H of the Environmental Impact Statement that will be issued in lered in the Environmental Impact Statement. The Department individual actions may have slipped, the target remains on significant series of the statement o	o later than 12/02; jointly prepared by the Departments of Health and Education of the Environmental Impact Statement that will be issued in 12/02. Lered in the Environmental Impact Statement. The Department of Health individual actions may have slipped, the target remains on schedule.	oo later than 12/02; jointly prepared by the Departments of Health and Ecology. of the Environmental Impact Statement that will be issued in 12/02. lered in the Environmental Impact Statement. The Department of Health has the lead individual actions may have slipped, the target remains on schedule.	of the Environmental Impact Statement that will be issued in 12/02. Hered in the Environmental Impact Statement. The Department of Health has the lead for cap design individual actions may have slipped, the target remains on schedule.

2001 – 2003 Biennial Summary Program Plan and Performance Measures Status Report Quarter Two

Comments: Although individual actions may have slipped, the target remains on schedule. Objective 3: Tri-Party Agreement: Ensure compliance with Tri-Party Agreement milestones by a sound compliance & enforcement strategy, recognizing valid project issues that warrant modification of Tri-Party Agreement requirements. Target 8: All scheduled milestones will be effectively managed; as met, modified, or regulatory action taken. Output: See comments for negotiation status** Outcome: Total # of milestones this quarter: 14 • 13 came due in quarter : (a) 92% (a) # of milestones met: 12 of 13 (b) 8% (b) # modified: 1 of 13 (c) # regulatory actions taken; 0 • 1 new milestone Comments: *A baseline cannot be provided at this time. We are looking at the best way to present our results to maximize value and meaning. Also, we are in the process of bringing a newly created milestone tracking system up to speed. Milestone Negotiations: **1) Approximately ten milestones for the Fast Flux Test Facility were put on hold five years ago. A decision was made in 12/01 to put those back in effect adding five years to the timeframes. Negotiations are taking place to determine what revisions, if any, are necessary; 2) We are currently negotiating milestones for the Plutonium Finishing Plant; 3) A change request was negotiated in 12/01 to modify/delete some of the River Corridor milestones; to be determined in 3/02. Objective 4: Groundwater: Ensure protection of the Columbia River from Hanford contamination. Target 9: Reduce overall concentration of contaminates in groundwater by 15% and minimize movement toward the Columbia River. Output: Completed 1-1/2 wells (2-1/2 wells to date of five wells planned); Department of Energy (DOE) submitted draft reports outlining five innovative technologies; DOE submitted 30% of Data Quality Objectives for comprehensive monitoring network development at CERCLA sites (completion date 4/02); increased capture zone by 10% for pump and treat; performed system upgrade to increase treatment capacity to accommodate increase in pumping capture zone. Outcome: Amount of contaminated water removed 80% and treated through pump & treat: 75 million 75% gallons as targeted; decreased the level of contamination in groundwater wells and influx of contaminants entering Columbia River by

approximately five percent for the first six-month									
period of 2002.									
Comments: Baseline reflects that 80% of groundwater is contaminated; we are targeted to be at 65% at the end of the biennium.									
*The second quarter percentage of 75 reflects effort for the first six months of the biennium (first and second quarters).									
Objective 5: Promote public input in the decision-making process on issues related to Hanford Site cleanup priorities and ensure information is available.									
Target 10: Increase overall public response to Nuclear W	aste Prograi	n's Hanford	public parti	cipation effo	orts by 20%.	<u> </u>			
Output: Developed and promoted a Community									
Relations Plan which sets the public involvement									
requirements for the Tri-Party Agreement Agencies									
(Washington State Department of Ecology, US									
Environmental Protection Agency, US Department									
of Energy).									
Developed and promoted the State-of-the-Hanford-									
Site Meetings which will allow key Tri-Party									
Agreement decision makers to engage citizens in a									
dialogue about the Hanford Cleanup. Meetings									
will be held in Seattle, Portland, and the Tri-Cities.									
Outcome: Public comment was conducted on one									
High-interest issue, the draft Community Relations									
Plan.:									
Two public meetings were held; 25 and 40 people									
in attendance. This represents a significant turnout									
for an issue that is not related to specific cleanup									
activities or actions.									
The Tri-Party Agencies received more than 100									
comments during this public comment period. The									
previous quarter number of comments received									
(during three public comment periods) was fewer									
than 20.									
Measurement for the efforts of the outputs for the									
State-of-the-Hanford-Site public meetings will be									
measureable the week of January 22-24, when the									
public meetings are conducted.									
Comments: Two innovative methods were developed an	d extensivel	y promoted	this quarter	with very su	ccessful res	ults. Action	s support me	eeting 20% t	arget for
the biennium.									

Notes:		